

FEB 25 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

PABLO NEGRETE ROSILA; MA  
MAGDALENA GARCIA ALVAREZ,

Petitioners,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 07-74685

Agency Nos. A095-307-523

A095-307-522

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 16, 2010\*\*

Before: FERNANDEZ, GOULD, and M. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Pablo Negrete Rosila and Ma Magdalena Garcia Alvarez, spouses and natives and citizens of Mexico, petition pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order denying their motion to reopen. We have

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. Reviewing for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen, *Perez v. Mukasey*, 516 F.3d 770, 773 (9th Cir. 2008), we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Petitioners' motion to reopen where the new evidence they presented did not support prima facie eligibility for cancellation of removal. *See Fernandez v. Gonzales*, 439 F.3d 592, 600 (9th Cir. 2006).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**