

FEB 25 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>ROSALINA GONZALEZ-REYES,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>
--

No. 07-74750

Agency No. A079-812-126

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 16, 2010\*\*

Before: FERNANDEZ, GOULD, and M. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Rosalina Gonzalez-Reyes, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge’s (“IJ”) decision denying her motion for a continuance and

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

voluntary departure. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion for a continuance, *Baires v. INS*, 856 F.2d 89, 91 (9th Cir. 1988), and de novo questions of law, *Ram v. INS*, 243 F.3d 510, 516 (9th Cir. 2001). We deny the petition for review.

The IJ did not abuse her discretion in denying Gonzalez-Reyes' third motion for a continuance where Petitioner failed to show eligibility for any relief. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.29 (IJ has authority to grant a continuance upon a showing of good cause); *Baires*, 856 F.2d at 92-93. It follows that Petitioner's due process claim fails. *See Lata v. INS*, 204 F.3d 1241, 1246 (9th Cir. 2000) (requiring error and prejudice for a petitioner to prevail on a due process claim).

Gonzalez-Reyes' contention that the IJ erred by denying her post-conclusion voluntary departure is unsupported by the record because she did not request such relief.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**