

MAR 08 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CAMERON HOOKER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

DERRAL G. ADAMS, Warden; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 08-17151

D.C. No. 1:04-cv-06584-LJO-DLB

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Eastern District of California  
Lawrence J. O'Neill, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 16, 2010\*\*

Before: FERNANDEZ, GOULD, and M. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Cameron Hooker, a California state prisoner, appeals pro se from the district court's summary judgment in his action alleging defendants violated his rights

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (“ADA”). We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo, *Gibson v. County of Washoe*, 290 F.3d 1175, 1180 (9th Cir. 2002), and we affirm.

Summary judgment was proper because Hooker failed to raise a triable issue as to whether he had a cognizable disability such that defendants had the obligation to permit him to possess a type-writer otherwise prohibited by prison rules. *See Vinson v. Thomas*, 288 F.3d 1145, 1153 (9th Cir. 2002) (“A public agency may require reasonable evidence of a disability before providing accommodations.”).

Hooker’s remaining contentions are unpersuasive.

**AFFIRMED.**