

MAR 24 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>RAUL LOMELI-ROBLES,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>
---

No. 07-72014

Agency No. A013-696-291

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted March 16, 2010\*\*

Before: SCHROEDER, PREGERSON, and RAWLINSON, Circuit Judges.

Raul Lomeli-Robles, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ order summarily affirming an immigration judge’s (“IJ”) decision denying his application for relief under former § 212(c) of

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

the Immigration and Nationality Act. Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review de novo questions of law, *Mielewczyk v. Holder*, 575 F.3d 992, 994 (9th Cir. 2009), and we dismiss in part and deny in part the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to review the IJ's discretionary denial of Lomeli-Robles' application for § 212(c) relief. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(B)(ii); *Vargas-Hernandez v. Gonzales*, 497 F.3d 919, 923 (9th Cir. 2007).

Lomeli-Robles' contention that the IJ applied an incorrect legal standard in adjudicating his application for § 212(c) relief is not persuasive.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED in part; DENIED in part.**