

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

MAR 26 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JORGE LUIS CORTEZ-ARREOLA,  
AKA Jose Luis Cortez-Perez,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 09-30237

D.C. No. 1:08-cr-00298-BLW

MEMORANDUM \*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Idaho  
B. Lynn Winmill, Chief District Judge, Presiding

Submitted March 16, 2010 \*\*

Before: SCHROEDER, PREGERSON, and RAWLINSON, Circuit Judges.

Jorge Luis Cortez-Arreola appeals from the 120-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for conspiracy to distribute methamphetamine,

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Cortez-Arreola contends that the district court erred at sentencing by failing to grant his request for safety valve relief. The district court did not clearly err by determining that Cortez-Arreola failed to disclose all of the information he had concerning the offense. *See United States v. Washman*, 128 F.3d 1305, 1307-08 (9th Cir. 1997); *see also United States v. Miller*, 151 F.3d 957, 958 (9th Cir. 1998) (stating that a defendant must disclose all the information he has concerning the offense to qualify for safety valve relief). Moreover, and contrary to Cortez-Arreola's assertion, nothing in the record suggests that the district court misinterpreted or misapplied the safety valve requirements. *See Miller*, 151 F.3d at 961.

**AFFIRMED.**