

APR 14 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LENA SIRENKO,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 07-74837

Agency No. A079-531-111

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted April 5, 2010\*\*

Before: RYMER, McKEOWN, and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

Lena Sirenko, a native and citizen of Armenia, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying her motion to reopen based on ineffective assistance of counsel. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

§ 1252. Reviewing for abuse of discretion, *Iturribarria v. INS*, 321 F.3d 889, 894 (9th Cir. 2003), we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Sirenko's motion to reopen because the motion was filed more than three years after the BIA's February 2, 2004, order dismissing the underlying appeal, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2), and Sirenko failed to demonstrate that she acted with the due diligence required for equitable tolling, *see Iturribarria*, 321 F.3d at 897 (equitable tolling available "when a petitioner is prevented from filing because of deception, fraud, or error, as long as the petitioner acts with due diligence"); *see also Singh v. Gonzales*, 491 F.3d 1090, 1096-97 (9th Cir. 2007).

In light of our disposition, we do not reach Sirenko's remaining contentions.

We grant Alexander Morales' motion to withdraw as counsel of record. The Clerk shall amend the docket to reflect that Sirenko is proceeding pro se.

Withdrawn counsel shall serve this disposition on Sirenko.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**