FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

APR 20 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

NICOLAS RODRIGUEZ LOPEZ,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 08-50110

D.C. No. 2:00-cr-01095-GAF

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California Gary A. Feess, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 5, 2010**

Before: RYMER, McKEOWN, and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

Nicolas Rodriguez Lopez appeals from the six concurrent terms of 288 months, and concurrent term of 240 months, imposed after he was resentenced

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

following a limited remand pursuant to *United States v. Ameline*, 409 F.3d 1073, 1084-85 (9th Cir. 2005) (en banc).

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Lopez's counsel has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided the appellant the opportunity to file a prose supplemental brief. No prose supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**, but the case is **REMANDED** to the district court for the limited purpose of correcting the judgment to delete the special conditions of supervised release relating to financial disclosures that were not imposed at sentencing.

2 08-50110