

JUN 01 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>WEN XU,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondent.</p>
--

No. 07-72225

Agency No. A096-054-985

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted May 25, 2010**

Before: CANBY, THOMAS, and W. FLETCHER, Circuit Judges.

Wen Xu, a native and citizen of China, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") order of removal and denying her motion to remand. Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review de novo constitutional

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

claims. *Cano-Merida v. INS*, 311 F.3d 960, 964 (9th Cir. 2002). We dismiss in part, deny in part, grant in part, and remand.

We lack jurisdiction to review Xu's contention that the agency abused its discretion by denying her adjustment of status application as a matter of discretion. *Hosseini v. Gonzales*, 471 F.3d 953, 956-57 (9th Cir. 2006).

Xu has failed to establish that her due process rights were violated by the BIA's composition during the pendency of her administrative appeal. *See Cano-Merida*, 311 F.3d at 964-65.

Because the BIA failed to address Xu's contentions that the IJ's conduct violated due process, we remand for the BIA to consider these contentions in the first instance. *See Montes-Lopez v. Gonzales*, 486 F.3d 1163, 1165 (9th Cir. 2007).

Each party shall bear its own costs in this petition for review.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED in part; DENIED in part;
GRANTED in part; REMANDED.**