

JUN 01 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GUILLERMO SORTO-ARGUETA,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 08-74247

Agency No. A070-663-743

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted May 25, 2010\*\*

Before: CANBY, THOMAS, and W. FLETCHER, Circuit Judges.

Guillermo Sorto-Argueta, a native and citizen of El Salvador, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his motion to reopen removal proceedings

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

conducted in absentia. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. Reviewing for abuse of discretion, *Singh v. INS*, 295 F.3d 1037, 1039 (9th Cir. 2002), we deny the petition for review.

The agency did not abuse its discretion in denying Sorto-Argueta's motion to reopen because Sorto-Argueta's mistaken belief that his hearing was on a different date does not constitute exceptional circumstances within the meaning of 8 U.S.C. § 1229a(e)(1), and denial of the motion did not lead to an unconscionable result. *See Valencia-Fragoso v. INS*, 321 F.3d 1204, 1205-06 (9th Cir. 2003) (per curiam) (mistaken belief regarding the time of the hearing did not constitute exceptional circumstances).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**