

JUN 02 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LING YANG,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 07-73521

Agency No. A096-349-192

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted May 25, 2010**

Before: CANBY, THOMAS, and W. FLETCHER, Circuit Judges.

Ling Yang, a native and citizen of China, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying her motion to reopen removal proceedings held in absentia. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen, *Iturribarria v. INS*, 321 F.3d 889, 894 (9th Cir. 2003), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Yang's motion to reopen as untimely where the motion was filed more than three years after the immigration judge's removal order became final. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.3(c). Yang failed to establish the due diligence required to warrant tolling of the motions deadline, *see Iturribarria*, 321 F.3d at 897, and the documentary evidence she submitted was insufficient to establish a material change in enforcement of China's one-child policy against similarly situated individuals, *see Lin v. Holder*, 588 F.3d 981, 986 (9th Cir. 2009).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.