

JUN 04 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ROSALINA VELASQUEZ GARFIAS,  
  
Petitioner,  
  
v.  
  
ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,  
  
Respondent.

No. 07-73974

Agency No. A095-295-918

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted May 25, 2010\*\*

Before: CANBY, THOMAS and W. FLETCHER, Circuit Judges.

Rosalina Velasquez Garfias, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions pro se for review of a Board of Immigration Appeals order denying her motion to reopen removal proceedings. Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

for abuse of discretion. *Perez v. Mukasey*, 516 F.3d 770, 773 (9th Cir. 2008). We deny in part and dismiss in part the petition for review.

The Board did not abuse its discretion in concluding that new evidence regarding Garfias's daughter was insufficient to warrant reopening. *See Singh v. INS*, 295 F.3d 1037, 1039 (9th Cir. 2002) (recognizing that Board's denial of motion to reopen shall be reversed only if "arbitrary, irrational or contrary to law").

To the extent Garfias challenges the Board's refusal to reopen proceedings sua sponte, we dismiss the petition for lack of jurisdiction. *Ekimian v. INS*, 303 F.3d 1153, 1159 (9th Cir. 2002).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED IN PART and DISMISSED IN PART.**