

FILED

JUN 07 2010

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

ALONSO JEFFERSON, a/k/a Puppet,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 07-50024

D.C. No. CR-03-00084-VAP-22

Central District of California,

Los Angeles

ORDER

Before: SCHROEDER, PREGERSON and RAWLINSON, Circuit Judges.

We hereby recall the mandate issued on April 4, 2010. The memorandum disposition filed on March 31, 2010, is withdrawn, and a replacement memorandum disposition is filed contemporaneously with this order. The mandate shall issue forthwith.

FILED

JUN 07 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

ALONSO JEFFERSON, a/k/a Puppet,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 07-50024

D.C. No. CR-03-00084-VAP

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
Virginia A. Phillips, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted March 16, 2010**

Before: SCHROEDER, PREGERSON and RAWLINSON, Circuit Judges.

Alonso Jefferson appeals from his guilty-plea conviction and 240-month sentence for conspiracy to manufacture, to aid and abet the manufacture of, and to

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

possess with intent to distribute phencyclidine, in violation of 21 U.S.C.

§§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A), and 846, and 18 U.S.C. § 2(a).

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Jefferson's counsel has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. Appellant has filed a pro se supplemental brief, but no answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penon v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.