FILED

JUN 18 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GLEN BROEMER, Plaintiff - Appellant, v. CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY; et al., Defendants - Appellees.	No. 08-56265 D.C. No. 2:01-cv-04340-MMM-RZ MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
Margaret M. Morrow, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted May 25, 2010**

Before: CANBY, THOMAS, and W. FLETCHER, Circuit Judges.

Glen Broemer appeals pro se from the district court's summary judgment in his action alleging that employees of the Central Intelligence Agency have been following him, threatening him, and physically injuring him for many years. We

---

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo. *McDonald v. Sun Oil Co.*, 548 F.3d 774, 778 (9th Cir. 2008), *cert. denied*, 129 S.Ct. 2825 (2009). We affirm.

The district court properly granted summary judgment on the claims in Broemer's fourth amended complaint because Broemer did not raise a triable issue as to whether he had been harmed by the defendants. *See Nilsson v. City of Mesa*, 503 F.3d 947, 952 n.2 (9th Cir. 2007) (explaining that a "conclusory, self-serving affidavit, lacking detailed facts and any supporting evidence, is insufficient to create a genuine issue of material fact") (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

Broemer's remaining contentions, including his challenges to dismissals of claims and defendants from his previous complaints, are unpersuasive.

All pending motions are denied.

AFFIRMED.