**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JUN 21 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RONALD K. MAUZEY,

No. 07-16040

Petitioner - Appellant,

D.C. No. CV-05-03337-MHP

v.

MEMORANDUM\*

A. P. KANE,

Respondent - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California Marilyn H. Patel, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted May 25, 2010\*\*

Before: CANBY, THOMAS, and W. FLETCHER, Circuit Judges.

California state prisoner Ronald K. Mauzey appeals pro se from the district court's judgment denying his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas petition. We have

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2253,1 and we affirm.

Mauzey contends that the Board's 2004 decision to deny him parole was not supported by "some evidence" and therefore violated his due process rights.

Following an independent review of the record, *see Himes v. Thompson*, 336 F.3d 848, 853 (9th Cir. 2003), we conclude that the state court's conclusion that some evidence supports the Board's decision was not objectively unreasonable. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2254(d); *see also Hayward v. Marshall*, No. 06-55392, 2010 WL 1664977, at \*11, \*17 (9th Cir. Apr. 22, 2010).

AFFIRMED.

2 07-16040

We certify for appeal, on our own motion, the issue of whether the 2004 decision of the California Board of Prison Terms ("the Board") to deny parole violated due process. We deny a certificate of appealability as to Mauzey's claim that the denial of parole violated his rights under the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).