

JUL 07 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>FUZHEN WANG,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>

No. 07-71945

Agency No. A075-734-108

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted June 29, 2010 **

Before: ALARCÓN, LEAVY, and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

Fuzhen Wang, a native and citizen of China, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order affirming the immigration judge’s denial of withholding of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

§ 1252. We review for substantial evidence the agency's factual findings. *See Prasad v. INS*, 47 F.3d 336, 338–39 (9th Cir. 1995). We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the BIA's determination that the harms Wang experienced during her single arrest did not rise to the level of persecution. *See Gu v. Gonzales*, 454 F.3d 1014, 1020-21 (9th Cir. 2006) (holding that the petitioner had not established past persecution when the petitioner had been held for three days, interrogated, and struck with a rod ten times). Similarly, the BIA's determination that Wang did not demonstrate a clear probability of future persecution is supported by substantial evidence because Wang points to no evidence that indicates she is more likely than not to face persecution if she returns to China. *See Prasad*, 47 F.3d at 339–40.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.