

JUL 12 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

VICENTA LOPEZ-BOBADILLA,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 08-71090

Agency No. A072-168-238

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted June 29, 2010\*\*

Before: ALARCÓN, LEAVY, and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

Vicenta Lopez-Bobadilla, a native and citizen of Guatemala, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying her application for relief under the

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Nicaraguan and Central American Relief Act (“NACARA”). We dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to review the agency’s determination that Lopez-Bobadilla was not eligible for NACARA relief because she failed to establish that she timely registered for ABC benefits, and she does not raise a legal or constitutional question that invokes our jurisdiction. *See Lanuza v. Holder*, 597 F.3d 970, 972 (9th Cir. 2010) (per curiam).

We lack jurisdiction to consider Lopez-Bobadilla’s remaining contentions, because she failed to exhaust them before the BIA. *See Barron v. Ashcroft*, 358 F.3d 674, 678 (9th Cir. 2004) (explaining that this court lacks jurisdiction to review contentions not raised before the agency).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.**