**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JUL 15 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

NORBERTO BARRERA; SANDRA PATRICIA CARDONA PINEROS; DAVID BARRERA CARDONA,

Petitioners,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 07-72169

Agency Nos. A079-101-930 A079-101-931 A079-101-932

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted June 29, 2010\*\*

Before: ALARCÓN, LEAVY, and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

Norberto Barrera and his family, natives and citizens of Colombia, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing their appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying their application for asylum,

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

withholding of removal, and protection under the Convention Against Torture ("CAT"). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence adverse credibility findings, *Li v. Ashcroft*, 378 F.3d 959, 962 (9th Cir. 2004), and we deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the agency's adverse credibility determination based on the discrepancies between Barrera's testimony and his sworn statement during the airport interview as to why he came to the United States and whether he suffered or feared harm in Colombia. See id. at 962-63 (discrepancy between testimony and sworn airport interview constituted substantial evidence where petitioner gave entirely different reasons for arrival in United States). Substantial evidence also supports the agency's adverse credibility determination based on the omission from Barrera's asylum application that the guerrillas forced him to remain face down on the ground without moving for 48 hours. See id. at 963 (reasonable for IJ to consider that, if truthful, petitioner would have mentioned allegation of serious mistreatment in asylum application). Accordingly, in the absence of credible testimony, petitioners' asylum claim and Barrera's withholding of removal claim fail. See Farah v. Ashcroft, 348 F.3d 1153, 1156 (9th Cir. 2003).

Because Barrera's CAT claim is based on the testimony the agency found not credible, and there is no evidence in the record that compels a finding that it is

2 07-72169

more likely than not he would be tortured if returned to Colombia, his CAT claim also fails. *See id.* at 1156-57.

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.

3 07-72169