

JUL 19 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

| |
|--|
| <p>LUIS ALONSO CARRANZA-VALLE,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p> |
|--|

No. 08-70743

Agency No. A096-079-626

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted June 29, 2010**

Before: ALARCÓN, LEAVY, and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

Luis Alonso Carranza-Valle, a native and citizen of El Salvador, petitions for review of a Board of Immigration Appeals (“BIA”) order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge’s decision denying his request for protection under the Convention Against Torture (“CAT”). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

§ 1252. We review for substantial evidence factual findings, *Silaya v. Mukasey*, 524 F.3d 1066, 1070 (9th Cir. 2008), and we review de novo due process claims, *Ram v. INS*, 243 F.3d 510, 516 (9th Cir. 2001). We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the agency's denial of CAT relief because Carranza-Valle failed to establish it is more likely than not that he will be tortured if he returns to El Salvador. *See Santos-Lemus v. Mukasey*, 542 F.3d 738, 748 (9th Cir. 2007).

We reject Carranza-Valle's contention that the BIA violated his due process rights by failing to adequately consider his CAT claim because it is not supported by the record. *Lata v. INS*, 204 F.3d 1241, 1246 (9th Cir. 2000) (requiring error to establish due process claim).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.