

JUL 22 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

VLADIMIR B. BELINSKI; SVETLANA
B. BELINSKI; ANGELINA V.
BELINSKI; VLADIMIR V. BELINSKI;
YULIA V. BELINSKI; DIANNA V.
BELINSKI; DENNIS V. BELINSKI,

Petitioners,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-73761

Agency Nos. A078-668-643
A078-668-644
A078-668-645
A078-668-646
A078-668-647
A078-668-648
A078-668-649

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 15, 2010**
Portland, Oregon

Before: GOODWIN, PREGERSON, and WARDLAW, Circuit Judges.

Vladimir B. Belinski, his wife, Svetlana Belinski, and their children,

Angelina Belinski, Vladimir V. Belinski, Yulia Belinski, and Dennis Belinski

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

(collectively “the Belinskis”) are natives and citizens of Tajikistan and citizens of Israel. Their youngest child, Dianna Belinski, is a native and citizen of Canada. The Belinskis appeal the Board of Immigration Appeals decision affirming the Immigration Judge’s (“IJ”) denial of their applications for asylum, withholding of removal, and relief under the Convention Against Torture. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252, and we deny the Belinskis’ petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the IJ’s conclusion that the Belinskis did not establish that the Israeli government was unable or unwilling to control their alleged persecutors. *See Robleto-Pastora v. Holder*, 567 F.3d 437, 442 (9th Cir. 2009), *amended by* 591 F.3d 1051 (9th Cir. 2009). We therefore deny the Belinskis’ petition for review because the IJ’s decision, affirmed without opinion by the Board of Immigration Appeals, is supported by substantial evidence.

PETITION DENIED.