FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JUL 29 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GURA SINGH DHILLON,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 08-70079

Agency No. A077-157-610

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 19, 2010**

Before: B. FLETCHER, REINHARDT, and WARDLAW, Circuit Judges.

Gura Singh Dhillon, a native and citizen of India, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to reconsider.

Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

discretion the denial of a motion to reconsider. *Cano-Merida v. INS*, 311 F.3d 960, 964 (9th Cir. 2002). We deny in part and dismiss in part the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Dhillon's motion to reconsider as untimely, where it was filed over two years after the BIA's final administrative decision. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b)(2).

We lack jurisdiction to review the BIA's exercise of its sua sponte authority to deny Dhillon's motion to reconsider based on his due process argument. *See Ekimian v. INS*, 303 F.3d 1153, 1159 (9th Cir. 2002).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.

2 08-70079