

JUL 29 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>ALBERT REPELITA MAMBOU,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>
--

No. 08-70557

Agency No. A078-020-321

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 19, 2010\*\*

Before: B. FLETCHER, REINHARDT and WARDLAW, Circuit Judges.

Albert Repelita Mambou, a native and citizen of Indonesia, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order denying his motion to reconsider. Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reconsider, *Lara-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 383

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

F.3d 968, 972 (9th Cir. 2004), and we deny in part and dismiss in part the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Mambou's motion to reconsider because the motion failed to identify any error of law or fact in the BIA's October 13, 2007, order dismissing his appeal. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b)(1); *see also Socop-Gonzalez v. INS*, 272 F.3d 1176, 1180 n.2 (9th Cir. 2001) (en banc) (purpose of a motion to reconsider is "to demonstrate that the IJ or the BIA erred as a matter of law or fact.").

To the extent Mambou challenges the BIA's October 30, 2007, order dismissing his appeal from the immigration judge's decision denying his withholding of removal application, we lack jurisdiction because this petition for review is not timely as to that order. *See Singh v. INS*, 315 F.3d 1186, 1188 (9th Cir. 2003).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.**