

JUL 30 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>MARIA DEL CAMPO-PINEDA,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondent.</p>
--

No. 07-74856

Agency No. A046-636-875

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 19, 2010\*\*

Before: B. FLETCHER, REINHARDT, and WARDLAW, Circuit Judges.

Maria Del Campo-Pineda, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge's order denying her application for cancellation of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review de novo questions of law,

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

*Aguilar Gonzales v. Mukasey*, 534 F.3d 1204, 1208 (9th Cir. 2008), and we deny the petition for review.

The agency properly determined that Del Campo-Pineda acquired her legal permanent resident status through fraud or misrepresentation and was therefore ineligible for cancellation of removal. *See Monet v. INS*, 791 F.2d 752, 753 (9th Cir. 1986); *In re Koloamatangi*, 23 I. & N. Dec. 548, 551 (BIA 2003). Del Campo-Pineda fails to provide any authority that supports her contention that the agency lacked jurisdiction to find that she acquired her legal permanent resident status through fraud or misrepresentation where the district director had not served her with a notice of intent to rescind. Del Campo-Pineda's due process claim therefore fails. *See Lata v. INS*, 204 F.3d 1241, 1246 (9th Cir. 2000) (requiring error for a petitioner to prevail on a due process claim).

Del Campo-Pineda's contention that the agency erred by failing to weigh all the equities is unpersuasive.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**