

JUL 30 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>IRMA CONSUELO BARCO-GUERRA,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>
--

No. 08-71736

Agency No. A072-776-445

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 19, 2010**

Before: B. FLETCHER, REINHARDT, and WARDLAW, Circuit Judges.

Irma Consuelo Barco-Guerra, a native and citizen of Guatemala, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying her motion to reopen deportation proceedings. Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. Reviewing for abuse of discretion, *Iturribarria v. INS*, 321 F.3d

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

889, 894 (9th Cir. 2003), we deny in part and dismiss in part the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Barco-Guerra's motion to reopen as untimely because it was filed over ten years after the BIA's final order, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2), and Barco-Guerra failed to demonstrate eligibility for any of the regulatory exceptions to the time limit for filing motions to reopen, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(3). Because the untimeliness determination is dispositive, we do not reach Barco-Guerra's remaining contentions.

We lack jurisdiction to review the BIA's decision not to invoke its sua sponte authority to reopen proceedings under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(a). *See Ekimian v. INS*, 303 F.3d 1153, 1159 (9th Cir. 2002).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.