FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

SEP 07 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

NICOLAS MIRANDA-NAVARRETTE; MARIA DE JESUS MIRANDA,

Petitioners,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 08-74971

Agency Nos. A095-878-208 A095-878-209

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted August 23, 2010**

Before: LEAVY, HAWKINS, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Nicolas Miranda-Navarrette and Maria De Jesus Miranda, natives and citizens of Mexico, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying their motion to reopen removal proceedings. Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

denial of a motion to reopen, *Iturribarria v. INS*, 321 F.3d 889, 894 (9th Cir. 2003), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying petitioners' second motion to reopen as untimely and number-barred because they previously filed a motion to reopen, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2), the second motion was filed more than 90 days after the final order of removal, *see* 8 U.S.C. § 1229a(c)(7)(C)(i), and petitioners failed to present evidence of changed country conditions in Mexico that were particular to petitioners and their circumstances. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(3)(ii); *see also Delgado-Ortiz v. Holder*, 600 F.3d 1148, 1152 (9th Cir. 2010) (per curiam).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.

2 08-74971