

SEP 29 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff - Appellee,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>JAMES DOUGLAS SHERIFFS,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant - Appellant.</p>
--

No. 08-10403

D.C. No. 2:03-CR-00375

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Arizona
James A. Teilborg, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 13, 2010**

Before: SILVERMAN, CALLAHAN, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

James Douglas Sheriffs appeals from the district court’s order revoking his supervised release and imposing a six-month sentence. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Sheriffs’ counsel has filed a brief stating there are

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record.

Sheriffs has filed a pro se supplemental brief.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.