

OCT 06 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MARY CSANYI,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

SUPERCUTS,

Defendant - Appellee,

and

REGIS CORPORATION,

Defendant.

No. 09-15912

D.C. No. 2:03-cv-01987-JAT

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona  
James A. Teilborg, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 13, 2010\*\*

Before: SILVERMAN, CALLAHAN, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Mary Csanyi appeals pro se from the district court's judgment awarding her

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

damages on her claim under the Family and Medical Leave Act (“FMLA”) following our remand vacating the district court’s judgment for defendant Supercuts on this claim. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review for clear error the district court’s computation of damages, *Amantea-Cabrera v. Potter*, 279 F.3d 746, 750 (9th Cir. 2002), and we affirm.

The district court did not clearly err in its calculation of damages based on the evidence presented at the bench trial. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 2617(a)(1)(A) (setting forth damages available under the FMLA).

The district court did not abuse its discretion by concluding that a second trial on damages was not warranted. *See Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp. v. Pfeifer*, 462 U.S. 523, 551 (1983) (“On remand, the decision on whether to reopen the record [on damages] should be left to the sound discretion of the trial court.”).

Csanyi’s remaining contentions are unpersuasive.

**AFFIRMED.**