

OCT 26 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

EUGENIO EVERADO CAMACHO-
CONTRERAS,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 08-73303

Agency No. A073-982-536

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 19, 2010**

Before: O’SCANNLAIN, TALLMAN, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Eugenio Everado Camacho-Contreras, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order denying his motion to reopen alleging ineffective assistance of counsel. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. Reviewing for abuse of discretion,

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Iturribarria v. INS, 321 F.3d 889, 894 (9th Cir. 2003), we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Camacho-Contreras' motion to reopen because it was filed more than six years after the BIA's March 25, 2002, order dismissing the underlying appeal, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2), and Camacho-Contreras failed to demonstrate that he acted with the due diligence required for equitable tolling, *see Iturribarria*, 321 F.3d at 897 (equitable tolling available "when a petitioner is prevented from filing because of deception, fraud, or error, as long as the petitioner acts with due diligence"); *see also Singh v. Gonzales*, 491 F.3d 1090, 1096-97 (9th Cir. 2007).

Camacho-Contreras' remaining contention is unavailing.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.