

OCT 26 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ALEJANDRO M. CONTRERAS  
WILTRON,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 08-74449

Agency No. A097-354-953

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 19, 2010\*\*

Before: O’SANNLAIN, TALLMAN, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Alejandro M. Contreras Wiltron, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order denying his motion to reconsider. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. Reviewing for

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

abuse of discretion, *Cano-Merida v. INS*, 311 F.3d 960, 964 (9th Cir. 2002), we deny the petition for review.

The BIA acted within its discretion in denying Contreras Wiltron's motion to reconsider because the motion failed to identify any error of fact or law in the BIA's July 25, 2008, order. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b)(1).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**