

NOV 01 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>LUIS GABRIEL OROPEZA,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>
--

No. 08-72677

Agency No. A099-288-811

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 19, 2010**

Before: O’SANNLAIN, LEAVY, and TALLMAN, Circuit Judges.

Luis Gabriel Oropeza, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge’s removal order. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence the agency’s factual findings and de novo

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

questions of law. *Mohammed v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 785, 791-92 (9th Cir. 2005).

We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the agency's determination that Oropeza is inadmissible because the record indicates he made a false claim to United States citizenship to gain entry to the United States on April 2, 2000. *See Pichardo v. INS*, 216 F.3d 1198, 1201 (9th Cir. 2000) (false claim to United States citizenship is a "non-waivable ground of inadmissibility" under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(ii)); *see also Llanos-Senarillos v. United States*, 177 F.2d 164, 165-66 (9th Cir. 1949) (withdrawal of false testimony after petitioner knows it will not deceive is not a voluntary and timely recantation). Oropeza is therefore ineligible for adjustment of status. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1255(a)(2) (applicant for adjustment of status must be admissible to the United States).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.