

DEC 27 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>DANIEL AGUILAR-RODRIGUEZ,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>
--

No. 07-72685

Agency No. A046-994-761

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 14, 2010**

Before: GOODWIN, WALLACE, and W. FLETCHER, Circuit Judges.

Daniel Aguilar-Rodriguez, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for cancellation of removal.

We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review de novo claims of

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

constitutional violations in immigration proceedings, *Iturribarria v. INS*, 321 F.3d 889, 894 (9th Cir. 2003), and we deny the petition for review.

Aguilar-Rodriguez's equal protection challenge fails because he is not similarly situated to those permanent resident aliens who resided with a parent in the United States for the seven years required under 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(a)(2). *See Dillingham v. INS*, 267 F.3d 996, 1007 (9th Cir. 2001) (to succeed on an equal protection challenge, the petitioner must establish that his treatment differed from that of similarly situated persons); *see also Cuevas-Gaspar v. Gonzales*, 430 F.3d 1013, 1028 (9th Cir. 2005).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.