FILED

DEC 27 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

HUAZHU ZHENG, a.k.a. HSU YING-HSIA KUO, a.k.a. QUO SU YING ZAW, a.k.a. HUA ZHU ZHENG, Petitioner, v. ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General, Respondent.	No. 08-71132 Agency No. A072-898-321 MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 14, 2010**

Before: GOODWIN, WALLACE, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Huazhu Zheng, a native and citizen of China, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying her motion to reopen. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the

---

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

denial of a motion to reopen, *He v. Gonzales*, 501 F.3d 1128, 1130-31 (9th Cir. 2007), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Zheng's motion to reopen as untimely because Zheng filed it over eleven years after the BIA issued its final removal order, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2), and Zheng failed to demonstrate changed country conditions, including a change in laws or the enforcement of family planning laws, to qualify for the regulatory exception to the time limit for filing motions to reopen, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(3)(ii); *Lin v. Holder*, 588 F.3d 981, 988-989 (9th Cir. 2009); *He*, 501 F.3d at 1132.

We reject Zheng's contention that the BIA erred in its evaluation of the evidence. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(1). We also reject Zheng's contention that the BIA did not adequately examine her evidence because she has not overcome the presumption that the BIA reviewed the record. *See Fernandez v. Gonzales*, 439 F.3d 592, 603 (9th Cir. 2006).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.