

FEB 22 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>BLANCA ESTELA CAMPOS; DAVID ESTEBAN CAMPOS-VEGA,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioners,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondent.</p>
--

No. 08-72235

Agency Nos. A075-763-520  
A075-112-305

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 15, 2011\*\*

Before: CANBY, FERNANDEZ, and M. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Blanca Estela Campos and David Esteban Campos-Vega, natives and citizens of Mexico, petition pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying their motion to reopen. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

reopen. *Mohammed v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 785, 791 (9th Cir. 2005). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying petitioners' motion to reopen as untimely and number-barred where the successive motion was filed over 21 months after the BIA's March 20, 2006, final removal order, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2), and petitioners failed to establish changed circumstances in Mexico to qualify for the regulatory exception to the time and number filing limitations, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(3)(ii).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**