

MAR 08 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

AMBAR WIBOWO,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-72334

Agency No. A079-643-138

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 15, 2011\*\*

Before: CANBY, FERNANDEZ, and M. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Ambar Wibowo, a native and citizen of Indonesia, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for asylum, withholding of removal, and protection under the Convention Against Torture ("CAT"). We have jurisdiction

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence factual findings, *Wakkary v. Holder*, 558 F.3d 1049, 1056 (9th Cir. 2009), and we deny the petition for review.

Even if Wibowo timely filed his asylum application, substantial evidence supports the agency's conclusion that Wibowo did not establish that he was or would be persecuted on account of an actual or imputed political opinion. *See INS v. Elias-Zacarias*, 502 U.S. 478, 481 n.1 (1992); *see also Sangha v. INS*, 103 F.3d 1482, 1489-91 (9th Cir. 1997). Accordingly, Wibowo's asylum and withholding of removal claims fail. *See Ochoa v. Gonzales*, 406 F.3d 1166, 1172 (9th Cir. 2005).

Finally, substantial evidence supports the agency's denial of CAT relief because Wibowo failed to establish it is more likely than not that he would be tortured if returned to Indonesia. *See Wakkary*, 558 F.3d at 1068.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**