

MAR 14 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</p> <p>Plaintiff - Appellee,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>CLAUDIO RODRIGUEZ, a.k.a. Tony,</p> <p>Defendant - Appellant.</p>
--

No. 09-50184

D.C. No. 2:08-cr-00572-DSF

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Central District of California  
Dale S. Fischer, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted March 8, 2011\*\*

Before: FARRIS, LEAVY, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Claudio Rodriguez appeals from the 80-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for intentionally distributing a controlled substance, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1)(b)(1)(B)(viii), and intentionally distributing a mixture or substance containing cocaine base, in violation of 21 U.S.C.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

§ 841(a)(1)(b)(1)(A)(iii). Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Rodriguez's counsel has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided the appellant with the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.