

MAR 15 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>FRANCISCO NOYOLA HERNANDEZ,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>
--

No. 09-70374

Agency No. A079-521-677

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted March 8, 2011**

Before: FARRIS, O’SANNLAIN, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Francisco Noyola Hernandez, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order denying his motion to reopen. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

discretion the denial of a motion to reopen, *He v. Gonzales*, 501 F.3d 1128, 1130-31 (9th Cir. 2007), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Hernandez's motion to reopen as untimely and successive because he filed his second motion to reopen over 20 months after the BIA's final administrative decision, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2), and because Hernandez failed to demonstrate changed country conditions to qualify for the regulatory exception to the time and numerical limits for motions to reopen, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(3)(ii); *Lin v. Holder*, 588 F.3d 981, 986, 986-989 (9th Cir. 2009).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.