FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

MAR 15 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

RUBEN ALVAREZ-CERVANTES,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 10-10155

D.C. No. 4:09-cr-50211-JMR

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Arizona David S. Doty, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted March 8, 2011**

Before: FARRIS, LEAVY, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Ruben Alvarez-Cervantes appeals from the revocation of his supervised release and the 12-month sentence imposed upon revocation. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Alvarez-Cervantes' counsel has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

of record. We have provided the appellant with the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.

2 10-10155