

MAY 03 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MARIA PANTALEON-FLORES,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 10-71174

Agency No. A095-638-340

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted April 20, 2011\*\*

Before: RYMER, THOMAS, and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

Maria Pantaleon-Flores, a native and citizen, petitions pro se for review of the decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals denying, as untimely, Pantaleon-Flores's second motion to reopen and reconsider the underlying denial of her

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

application for cancellation of removal, based on her failure to establish the requisite hardship to her qualifying United States citizen children.

Pantaleon-Flores has waived any challenge to the BIA's decision denying her motion to reopen and reconsider by failing to raise any arguments related to the BIA's dispositive determination that the motion was untimely. *See Martinez-Serrano v. INS*, 94 F.3d 1256, 1259-60 (9th Cir. 1996).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**