

MAY 20 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>IRMA CRUZ MATUTE-CALDERON; et al.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioners,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondent.</p>
--

No. 07-73345

Agency Nos. A096-333-754  
A096-333-753  
A096-333-752

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Argued and Submitted May 12, 2011  
San Francisco, California

Before: B. FLETCHER and THOMAS, Circuit Judges, and ROSENTHAL,  
District Judge.\*\*

Irma Cruz Matute-Calderon and her siblings, Vicente Omar Matute-  
Calderon and Mariela Matute-Calderon, petition for review of the immigration  
judge’s (“IJ”) and Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) orders denying their

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent  
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The Honorable Lee H. Rosenthal, District Judge for the U.S. District  
Court for Southern Texas, Houston, sitting by designation.

applications for asylum, withholding of removal, and protection under the Convention Against Torture. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. Because the parties are familiar with the history of the case, we need not recount it here.

Matute-Calderon and her siblings claim they have a well-founded fear of persecution based on their membership in a “particular social group,” 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(42)(A). Because the record as developed does not establish that the claimed social group has the requisite “social visibility” and “particularity” to constitute a viable “social group” under immigration law, the BIA and IJ did not err in denying the applications. *See, e.g., Ramos-Lopez v. Holder*, 563 F.3d 855, 859–61 (9th Cir. 2009) (holding that young Honduran men who resisted recruitment into a Central American gang did not possess sufficient social visibility or particularity to constitute a “particular social group”).

We need not and do not reach any other issues urged by the parties.

**PETITION DENIED.**