

JUN 06 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>PAUL SCOTT KLEIN,</p> <p>Plaintiff - Appellant,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>HOWARD SKOLNIK; et al.,</p> <p>Defendants - Appellees.</p>
--

No. 10-15538

D.C. No. 3:08-cv-00177-ECR-
RAM

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Nevada
Edward C. Reed, Jr., District Judge, Presiding

Submitted May 24, 2011**

Before: PREGERSON, THOMAS, and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

Nevada state prisoner Paul Scott Klein appeals pro se from the district court's summary judgment in his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging that the confiscation of his discounted magazine subscription renewal offer violated his First and Fourteenth Amendment rights. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

§ 1291. We review de novo. *Frost v. Symington*, 197 F.3d 348, 353 (9th Cir. 1999). We affirm.

The district court properly granted summary judgment on Klein’s First Amendment claim because Klein failed to raise a genuine dispute of material fact as to whether the contested prison regulations were rationally related to legitimate penological objectives. *See Turner v. Safley*, 482 U.S. 78, 89-91 (1987); *Frost*, 197 F.3d at 357; *Mauro v. Arpaio*, 188 F.3d 1054, 1059-60 (9th Cir. 1999).

The district court properly granted summary judgment on Klein’s Fourteenth Amendment due process claim because Klein failed to raise a genuine dispute of material fact about whether he was deprived of a liberty or property interest. *See Kildare v. Saenz*, 325 F.3d 1078, 1085 (9th Cir. 2003) (“Procedural due process claims require [] a deprivation of a constitutionally protected liberty or property interest[.]”).

Klein’s remaining contentions are unpersuasive.

Klein’s motion filed on June 28, 2010 concerning his prison copy account is denied as moot.

AFFIRMED.

FILED

JUN 06 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

PREGERSON, Circuit Judge, dissenting:
I dissent.