

JUN 07 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff - Appellee,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>JAMES DALTON BELL,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant - Appellant.</p>

No. 10-30216

D.C. No. 3:00-cr-05731-RBL

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Washington
Ronald B. Leighton, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted May 24, 2011**

Before: PREGERSON, THOMAS, and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

James Dalton Bell contends that the 24-month sentence imposed upon
revocation of supervised release violated his rights to due process and a jury trial.

We dismiss.

We will not review the merits of Bell’s contentions because the

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision
without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

“interlocutory notice of appeal” (“NOA”) does not mention the sentence, which was imposed six days after the NOA was filed, and no subsequent NOA was ever filed. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 3(c)(1)(B); 4(b)(1)(A) and (b)(2).

DISMISSED.