**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JUN 08 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ARMANDO CORONADO-FERRA,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 10-70639

Agency No. A017-197-763

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted May 24, 2011\*\*

Before: PREGERSON, THOMAS, and PAEZ, Circuit Judges

Armando Coronado-Ferra, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's removal order. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C.

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

§ 1252. We review de novo questions of law, *Castillo-Cruz v. Holder*, 581 F.3d 1154, 1158-59 (9th Cir. 2009), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA properly applied the modified categorical approach in determining that Coronado-Ferra's 2008 conviction under Cal. Penal Code § 666 was a generic theft offense within the meaning of 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(43)(G) where the record of conviction establishes that he was not convicted of theft by false pretenses. *See Carrillo-Jaime v. Holder*, 572 F.3d 747, 751-53 (9th Cir. 2009). Coronado-Ferra is therefore removable as an aggravated felon under 8 U.S.C. § 1227(A)(iii) and ineligible for cancellation of removal under 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(a)(3).

In light of our disposition, we need not reach his remaining contention.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.

2 10-70639