

JUN 09 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

KARL D. CHROMY,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

COMMISSIONER OF THE SOCIAL
SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 09-36052

D.C. No. 3:08-cv-00380-HA

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Oregon
Ancer L. Haggerty, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted May 24, 2011**

Before: PREGERSON, THOMAS, and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

Karl D. Chromy appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing his action seeking review of a final decision by the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration denying his objection to the appointment of Integrity Plus,

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

RPP, as his representative payee responsible for oversight of his disability benefits.

We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo a district court's decision on mootness. *Sample v. Johnson*, 771 F.2d 1335, 1338 (9th Cir. 1985).

We affirm.

The district court properly dismissed this action because it was rendered moot when Integrity Plus resigned its appointment as Chromy's payee. *See id.* ("A moot action is one where the issues are no longer live").

Chromy's remaining contentions, including those concerning discovery and service of the motion to dismiss, are unavailing.

AFFIRMED.