

JUL 6 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>PUSHPINDER WALIA,</p> <p>Plaintiff - Appellant,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>PATRICK R. DONAHOE, Postmaster General United States Postal Service,**</p> <p>Defendant - Appellee.</p>

No. 09-36004

D.C. No. 2:09-cv-01188-JLR

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Washington
James L. Robart, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted June 15, 2011***

Before: CANBY, O'SCANNLAIN, and FISHER, Circuit Judges.

Pushpinder Walia appeals pro se from the district court's order denying her request for appointment of counsel and her motion to submit exhibits in her Title

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** Patrick R. Donahoe has been substituted for his predecessor, John Potter, as Postmaster General under Fed. R. App. P. 43(c)(2).

*** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

VII employment action against the United States Postal Service. To the extent that we have jurisdiction, it is under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review for an abuse of discretion. *Ivey v. Bd. of Regents of the Univ. of Alaska*, 673 F.2d 266, 269 (9th Cir. 1982). We affirm in part and dismiss in part.

The district court did not abuse its discretion in denying Walia's request for appointment of counsel because Walia failed to show that she lacked the financial resources to hire counsel. *See id.* (a plaintiff's financial resources are a relevant factor in the district court's determination whether to appoint counsel in a Title VII action).

Walia's remaining contentions are unpersuasive.

We lack jurisdiction to consider the district court's denial of Walia's "Motion to Submit Exhibits Referred to in Her Employment Discrimination Complaint" because it is not an appealable decision. *See Way v. Cnty. of Ventura*, 348 F.3d 808, 810 (9th Cir. 2003) (court of appeals generally has jurisdiction only to hear appeals from final decisions of the district court).

AFFIRMED in part and DISMISSED in part.