

JUL 15 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>MICHAEL JOHNSON,</p> <p>Plaintiff - Appellant,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>D. K. SISTO; et al.,</p> <p>Defendants - Appellees.</p>
--

No. 10-15559

D.C. No. 2:06-cv-02035-FCD-KJN

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of California
Frank C. Damrell, Jr., District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 12, 2011**

Before: SCHROEDER, ALARCÓN, and LEAVY, Circuit Judges.

Michael Johnson, a California state prisoner, appeals pro se from the district court’s summary judgment in his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging deliberate indifference to his medical needs. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We review for an abuse of discretion a district court’s denial of appointment of counsel, *Palmer v. Valdez*, 560 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009), and we affirm.

The district court did not abuse its discretion by denying Johnson’s motions to appoint counsel because the case did not present exceptional circumstances. *See Agyeman v. Corr. Corp. of Am.*, 390 F.3d 1101, 1103 (9th Cir. 2004) (holding that “[a] finding of the exceptional circumstances of the plaintiff seeking assistance requires at least an evaluation of the likelihood of the plaintiff’s success on the merits and an evaluation of the plaintiff’s ability to articulate his claims in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved” (citation and internal quotation marks omitted)).

We do not consider claims not actually argued in Johnson’s opening brief. *See Entm’t Research Grp., Inc. v. Genesis Creative Grp., Inc.*, 122 F.3d 1211, 1217 (9th Cir. 1997).

AFFIRMED.