

Convention Against Torture (“CAT”). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence, *Kin v. Holder*, 595 F.3d 1050, 1054 (9th Cir. 2010), and we deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the agency’s adverse credibility determination because the discrepancies between petitioners’ testimony, a medical document, and a witness’ testimony regarding Aleksandar Petkov Ivanov’s fractured pelvis go to the heart of petitioners’ claim, *see id.* at 1058, and petitioners’ explanation does not compel a contrary conclusion, *see Rivera v. Mukasey*, 508 F.3d 1271, 1275 (9th Cir. 2007). Accordingly, in the absence of credible testimony, petitioners’ asylum and withholding of removal claims fail. *See Farah v. Ashcroft*, 348 F.3d 1153, 1156 (9th Cir. 2003).

Further, because petitioners’ CAT claim is based on the same statements found to be not credible, and petitioners do not point to any other evidence that shows it is more likely than not that they will be tortured if returned to Bulgaria, their CAT claim also fails. *See id.* at 1156-57.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.