

JUL 25 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>MARIA CERDA-BECERRA,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondent.</p>

No. 07-71953

Agency No. A098-219-283

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 12, 2011**

Before: SCHROEDER, ALARCÓN, and LEAVY, Circuit Judges.

Maria Cerda-Becerra, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge’s (“IJ”) decision premitting her application for cancellation of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review de novo

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

questions of law and claims of due process violations, *Mohammed v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 785, 791-92 (9th Cir. 2005), and we deny the petition for review.

The IJ properly determined that Cerda-Becerra abandoned her application for cancellation of removal because it was not filed by the IJ's deadline. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.31(c) (IJ may set filing deadlines and deem waived an application not filed by the deadline); *see also Matter of R-R-*, 20 I. & N. Dec. 547, 549 (BIA 1992) ("The Board has long held that applications for benefits under the Act are properly denied as abandoned when the alien fails to timely file them."). It follows that Cerda-Becerra's due process rights were not violated. *See Lata v. INS*, 204 F.3d 1241, 1246 (9th Cir. 2000) (requiring error for a petitioner to prevail on a due process claim).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.