

OCT 5 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

|   |
|---|
| <p>LARRY A. GRIMES, Sr.,</p> <p>Petitioner - Appellant,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>H. A. RIOS, Jr., Warden,</p> <p>Respondent - Appellee.</p> |
|---|

No. 10-15603

D.C. No. 1:09-cv-02002-OWW

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Eastern District of California  
Oliver W. Wanger, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 27, 2011\*\*

Before: HAWKINS, SILVERMAN, and W. FLETCHER, Circuit Judges.

Federal prisoner Larry A. Grimes, Sr., appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 habeas petition. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Grimes contends that the district court erred by dismissing his petition because a parole violation warrant, lodged as a detainer, violates his rights by remaining unexecuted while he serves his sentence for a 2003 bank robbery. Grimes is not currently suffering any loss of liberty because of the unexecuted parole violation warrant. He has no right to disposition of the parole violation warrant prior to the expiration of his current sentence. *See Moody v. Daggett*, 429 U.S. 78, 86-87 (1976); *United States v. Garrett*, 253 F.3d 443, 447-48 (9th Cir. 2001). Grimes's remaining contentions lack merit.

**AFFIRMED.**