

NOV 22 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FRANCISCO ALEXANDER  
GUERRERO CLAROS, a.k.a. Mauricio  
Martinez Garduno,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 08-73716

Agency No. A076-735-968

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 21, 2011\*\*

Before: TASHIMA, BERZON, and TALLMAN, Circuit Judges.

Francisco Alexander Guerrero Claros, a native and citizen of El Salvador, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to reopen. Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen. *Toufighi v. Mukasey*, 538 F.3d 988, 992 (9th Cir. 2008). We deny the petition for review in part and dismiss it in part.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Guerrero Claros's motion to reopen because he failed to demonstrate the affidavits he submitted were previously unavailable. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(1); *Goel v. Gonzales*, 490 F.3d 735, 738 (9th Cir. 2007).

We lack jurisdiction to address Guerrero Claros's challenge to the immigration judge's denial of asylum, withholding of removal, and CAT relief, because he failed to exhaust these issues before the BIA. *See Barron v. Ashcroft*, 358 F.3d 674, 678 (9th Cir. 2004).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.**