

DEC 20 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DANA WEBB,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

MARK COPE, Honorable; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 10-57051

D.C. No. 5:10-cv-01533-UA-AGR

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
George H. King, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 19, 2011**

Before: GOODWIN, WALLACE and McKEOWN, Circuit Judges.

Dana Webb appeals pro se the district court's order denying her request to proceed in forma pauperis in her U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging civil rights violations in connection with her divorce case. We have jurisdiction pursuant to

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review for an abuse of discretion, *Minetti v. Port of Seattle*, 152 F.3d 1113, 1115 (9th Cir. 1998) (per curiam), and we affirm.

Webb sued Riverside Superior Court Judges Mark Cope and Rebecca Dugan; the estate of Judge Ronald Heumann, formerly a Superior Court judge; and Lori Hunt Kennedy, a former Commissioner in the family law court of Riverside County. Webb alleged that the proceedings in her divorce case were constitutionally flawed because of the “great probability of judicial bias.”

The district court did not abuse its discretion by denying Webb’s request to proceed in forma pauperis because it appears from the face of the complaint that defendants are entitled to absolute immunity. *See id.*; *see also Romano v. Bible*, 169 F.3d 1182, 1186 (9th Cir. 1999).

AFFIRMED.