**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JAN 03 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GURDEV SINGH,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 07-71577

Agency No. A042-967-796

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 19, 2011\*\*

Before: GOODWIN, WALLACE, and McKEOWN, Circuit Judges.

Gurdev Singh, a native and citizen of India, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing as untimely his appeal from an immigration judge's removal order. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C.

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

§ 1252(a). We review de novo questions of law, *Mohammed v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 785 (9th Cir. 2005), and we grant the petition for review.

The BIA dismissed Singh's appeal as untimely without the benefit of our decision in *Irigoyen–Briones v. Holder*, 644 F.3d 943, 948 (9th Cir. 2011), which held that the 30-day filing deadline for BIA appeals is not jurisdictional. We therefore remand for the BIA to determine whether to consider Singh's appeal in light on this intervening case law. *See INS v. Ventura*, 537 U.S. 12, 16 (2002).

PETITION FOR REVIEW GRANTED; REMANDED.

2 07-71577